UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,572	04/19/2004	Lowell L. Wood JR.	0803-004-001A-000000	3210
44765 SEARETE LL	7590 07/11/2007		EXAMINER .	
CLARENCE T. TEGREENE			WILLIAMS, CATHERINĖ SERKE	
1756 - 114TH SUITE 110	AVE., S.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLEVUE, WA 98004			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/827,572	WOOD, LOWELL L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Catherine S. Williams	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	arch 2007		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		esecution as to the morite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Globba in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1995 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Annilia dia Panana			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	• • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 H.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority drider 33 0.3.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>	
application from the International Bureau	•	d in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d	
oos the attached detailed office detail for a list t	or the defined dopled not rederve	<b>u</b> .	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-34, drawn to a device, classified in class 604, subclass 93.01.
- II. Claims 35-46, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 264, subclass 512.
- III. Claims 47-65, drawn to a method, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method of making could be used to manufacture an automated pet animal feeder with a flexible dispenser.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in a different process of using, for instance, the product could be used as an automatic extendable and retractable antennae.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, Art Unit: 3763

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects. Invention II results in the manufacture of a device and Invention II results in an outcome through the use of the device.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Art Unit: 3763

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571/2724970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571/2724977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,572

Art Unit: 3763

/Catherine S. Williams/ Catherine S. Williams Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763 July 3, 2007 Page 5